arXiv:1410.2529v1 [math.AG] 9 Oct 2014

A NOTE ON HYPERBOLICITY FOR LOG CANONICAL PAIRS

ROBERTO SVALDI

Abstract. Given a log canonical pair (X, Δ), we prove that $K_X + \Delta$ is nef assuming there is no non constant map from the projective line with values in the open strata of the stratification induced by the non klt locus of Δ. This implies a generalization of the Cone Theorem. Moreover, we give a criterion of Nakai type to determine when under the above condition $K_X + \Delta$ is ample and we prove some partial results in the case of arbitrary singularities.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Pairs and their singularities 5
2.1. The non-klt locus, lc centers and their stratification 5
2.2. Dlt modifications 6
3. Subadjunction on higher codimensional lc centers 8
3.1. Canonical bundle formula 8
4. Mori hyperbolicity 10
5. Proof of theorem 1.1 11
6. Ampleness and pseudo-effectiveness for Mori hyperbolic pairs 15
References 17

1. Introduction

The purpose of this note is to prove the following theorem connecting positivity of log pairs and hyperbolicity properties of a certain stratification induced by the log pair on the ambient variety.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a smooth projective variety and D a reduced simple normal crossing divisor on X. Assume that there is no non constant map $f : \mathbb{A}^1 \to X \setminus D$ and the same every holds for any intersection of components of D, $\cap_{i\in I} D_i$, upon removing the intersections with the other components of D, i.e. those not indexed by I.*

Then $K_X + D$ is nef.

More generally, let (X, Δ) be a log canonical pair. Assume that there is no non constant map $f : \mathbb{A}^1 \to X \setminus \{x \in X \mid \Delta \text{ is not klt at } x\}$ and the same holds for all the open strata of the non-klt locus.

Then $K_X + \Delta$ is nef.

Date: October 10, 2014.

The assumption on the non-existence of $\mathbb{A}^1$'s in the open stratification on X induced by a simple normal crossing divisor was first introduced by Lu and Zhang in [LZ12] with the name of Mori hyperbolicity. We generalize their definition to the case of a log pair (X, Δ), allowing possibly non log canonical singularities as well, see Definition 4.1.
The notion of Mori hyperbolicity for a log pair (X, Δ) has an inherently inductive nature. Hence, it is fair to expect that some sort of inductive approach could possibly lead to the above theorem. Indeed, this is the strategy that we adopt in the course of the proof. A fundamental step is represented by the following result which makes clear the connection between the geometry of the pair – in this case, its positivity- and the geometry of the non-klt locus of Δ[1]; that is in fact a general guiding principle in the study of purely lc pairs.

Theorem 1.2. *[cf. Cor. 4.3] Let (X, Δ), be a log pair. Assume that (X, Δ) is Mori hyperbolic. Then $K_X + \Delta$ is nef if it is nef when restricted to its non-klt locus.*

We moreover describe a criterion for the ampleness of Mori Hyperbolic dlt pairs.
A fundamental result of Nakai and Campana, Peternell claims that ampleness of $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisors on a projective scheme X can be tested simply looking at its restrictions over all subvarieties of X, via self-intersetion numbers.
For a Mori hyperbolic pair (X, Δ), we prove that the Nakai criterion can be restated in a much simpler form: in fact, it is enough to test ampleness only along the lc centers of Δ.

Theorem 1.3. *[cf. Cor. 6.4] Let (X, Δ) be a dlt pair. Assume that (X, Δ) is Mori hyperbolic.*

Then, the following are equivalent:

(1) $K_X + \Delta$ is ample;
(2) $(K_X + \Delta)^{\dim X} > 0$ and

$$(K_X + \Delta_{|W})^{\dim W} > 0, \text{ for } W \subset X \text{ a log canonical center for } (X, \Delta).$$

The basic idea of the Minimal Model Program is that, starting from a given pair (X, Δ), there should be an algorithmic way to produce a pair (X', Δ'), where X and X' are birational and the geometry of (X', Δ') is more transparent than that of Δ. The first challenge is to make sense of the expression "more transparent".
For example, in the classical setting, one starts with a normal variety X and would like to find a birational model X' on which either $K_{X'}$ is nef or X' has a fibre space structure. In view of this, it is crucial to determine what kind of positivity properties log divisors feature.
The starting point in the 80's, was the discovery, due to Mori - later improved by Kollár, Reid, Shokurov, Kawamata, Ambro - that the subcone of the effective cone of curves on a normal variety X, $\overline{NE}_1(X) \subset H_2(X, \mathbb{R})$, of classes having negative intersection with a log divisor of the form $K_X + \Delta$ is spanned[2] by countably many classes of rational curves. This result highlights the importance of the study of rational curves to understand the geometry of X.

[1]When (X, Δ) is a simple normal crossing pair, then the non-klt locus of Δ, denoted $\mathrm{Nklt}(\Delta)$, is the union of the components of coefficients ≥ 1 in Δ. When the pair is not snc, then after passing to a log resolution, the non-klt locus is the image of the components of coefficient ≥ 1 of the pullback of $K_X + \Delta$ on the resolution.

[2]For Δ an effective divisors with lc singularities.

On the other hand, rational curves on varieties have been object of study long before the MMP was even imagined. Many authors turned their attention to the study of the existence/absence of rational curves and their distribution on a given variety, providing some interesting discoveries and conjectures. The interested reader can consult [Dem12] for a survey of classical and more recent results.
Going back to the Cone Theorem, one immediately understands how restrictive hyperbolicity is in terms of divisors and their positivity.

Theorem 1.4 (Cone theorem, weak version)**.** *Let X be a normal variety and Δ an effective divisor on X with log canonical singularities. Assume that X does not contain rational curves. Then $K_X + \Delta$ is a nef divisor.*

In view of this, the following question appears quite natural.

Question 1.5. *What kind of geometric properties should the pair (X, Δ) have in order for $K_X + \Delta$ to be nef?*

We can thus think of Theorem 1.1 as a way to answer the previous question. Lu and Zhang proved a version of it for dlt pairs, assuming some factoriality conditions on the components, [LZ12, Thm. 3.1]. We generalize their result to the category of log canonical varieties. The proof is carried out by conducting a careful analysis of adjunction along lc centers of codimension greater than 1, by means of the canonical bundle formula. This way, we also obtain a weak formulation of subadjunction for log canonical pairs (cf. Theorem 5.6) and the following strengthening of the Cone Theorem, which follows immediately form the proof of the main theorem.

Theorem 1.6. *[cf. Thm. 5.8] Let (X, Δ) be a log canonical pair and let $\overline{NE}_1(X)$ be the closure of the cone spanned by effective curves. Then, there exists countably many $K_X + \Delta$-negative rational curves C_i such that*

$$\overline{NE}_1(X) = \overline{NE}_1(X)_{K_X+\Delta\geq 0} + \sum_{i\in I} \mathbb{R}^+[C_i].$$

Moreover, one of the two following conditions hold:

- *$C_i \cap X \setminus \mathrm{Nklt}(\Delta)$ contains the image of a non constant map $f : \mathbb{A}^1 \to X$;*
- *there exists an open stratum W of $\mathrm{Nklt}(\Delta)$ such that $C_i \cap W$ contains the image of a non constant map $f : \mathbb{A}^1 \to W$.*

In the attempt of expanding the above results to arbitrary singularities, the following questions appear quite natural.

Question 1.7. *Let $(X, \Delta = \sum b_i D_i \geq 0), 0 < b_i \leq 1$, be a Mori hyperbolic log pair. Assume $K_X + \Delta$ is nef on the locus of non log canonical singularities of Δ, $\mathrm{Nlc}(\Delta)$. Is $K_X + \Delta$ nef? Is it possible to drop the assumption $0 \leq b_i \leq 1$?*

Most of the proof of Theorem 1.1 applies to the non lc case, through the language and techniques of quasi log varieties introduced in [Amb03]. It seems that, in order to terminate the proof, one would have to prove a stronger version of Bend and Break Lemma. Unfortunately, we are not able to prove such a result in this paper, hence the above question remains still open. Some results in this direction were recently proved by M$^{\mathrm{c}}$Quillan and Pacienza in [MP12], for quotient singularities.

The paper is structured as follows: in Section 2 and 3, we recall some preliminaries about singularities of the Minimal Model Program and the canonical bundle

formula. In Section 4, we define Mori hyperbolicity and describe some of its properties. Section 5 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1, while in Section 6 we prove Theorem 1.3.

Acknowledgements. The author wants to thank his advisor, Prof. James M$^{\text{c}}$Kernan, for suggesting the problem and offering constant support and encouragement. The author thanks also John Lesieutre and Tiankai Liu for useful discussions and exchange of ideas. Thanks to Gabriele di Cerbo for reading a first draft of this work and for his encouragement, as well as to Prof. Steven Lu for his interest in this work.

Part of this work was done while the author was supported by NSF DMS #0701101 and #1200656.

Notation and Conventions. By the term variety, we will always mean an integral, separated, projective scheme over an algebraically closed field k. Unless otherwise stated, it will be understood that $k = \mathbb{C}$.
A $\mathbb{K}$-Weil ($\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}$) divisor is a $\mathbb{K}$-linear combination of Weil divisors and the analogous definition is valid in the $\mathbb{K}$-Cartier case.
If $D = \sum d_i D_i$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-divisor on a normal variety X, then the *round down* (resp. *round up*) of D is $\lfloor D \rfloor = \sum_i \lfloor d_i \rfloor D_i$ (resp. $\lceil D \rceil = \sum_i \lceil d_i \rceil D_i$), where $\lfloor d \rfloor$ (resp. $\lceil d \rceil$) denotes the largest (resp. the smallest) integer which is at most d (resp. at least d). The *fractional part* of D is $\{D\} = D - \lfloor D \rfloor$.
If $D = \sum d_i D_i$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-divisor on a normal variety X, where the D_i's are the distinct prime components of D, then we define $D^{*c} := \sum_{d_i \, * \, c} d_i D_i$, $c \in \mathbb{R}$, where $*$ is any of $=, \geq, \leq, >, <$.
The *support* of $\Delta = \sum_{i \in I} d_i D_i$ (where $d_i \neq 0$) is the union of the prime divisors appearing in the formal sum, $\text{Supp}(D) = \bigcup_{i \in I} D_i$.
Two $\mathbb{K}$-divisors D_1 and D_2 are $\mathbb{K}$-*linearly equivalent*, denoted $D_1 \sim_{\mathbb{K}} D_2$, if their difference is a $\mathbb{K}$-linear combination of principal divisors on X.
Given a map $f : X \to Y$, two $\mathbb{K}$-divisors D_1, D_2 are f $\mathbb{K}$-*linear equivalent*, denoted $D_1 \sim_{f,\mathbb{K}} D_2$, if $D_1 - D_2 \sim_{\mathbb{K}} f^*(A)$ for some Cartier divisor A on Y.
A *log pair* (X, Δ) consists of a normal variety X and a $\mathbb{R}$-Weil divisor $\Delta \geq 0$ such that $K_X + \Delta$ is $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier.
A log pair $(X, \Delta = \sum_{i \in I} a_i D_i)$ is simple normal crossing (snc) if X and every component of D are smooth and all components D_i of D intersect transversally, i.e. for every $p \in X$ one can choose a neighborhood $U \ni p$ (in the Zariski topology) and local coordinates x_j s.t. for every i there is an index $c(i)$ for which $D_i \cap U = (x_{c(i)} = 0)$. If (X, Δ) is snc a *stratum* of (X, Δ) is either X or an irreducible component of the intersection $\cap_{j \in J} D_j$, where J is a non-empty subset of the prime divisors appearing in Δ with coefficient 1. Given a (closed) stratum, W, the corresponding *open stratum* is obtained from W by removing all the strata contained in W.
Given a normal variety X, a $\mathbb{K}$-b-divisor is a (possibly infinite) sum of geometric valuations of $k(X)$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{K}$,

$$\mathbb{D} = \sum_{i \in I} b_i V_i, \ V_i \subset k(X) \text{ and } b_i \in \mathbb{K}, \ \forall i \in I,$$

such that for every normal variety X' birational to X, only a finite number of the V_i's can be realized by divisors on X'. The trace of $\mathbb{D}$ on X', $\mathbb{D}_{X'}$, is defined as

$$\mathbb{D}_{X'} = \sum_{\substack{i\in I \\ c_{X'}(V_i)=D_i \\ D_i \text{ is a divisor}}} b_i D_i.$$

2. Pairs and their singularities

A *log resolution* for a log pair (X,Δ) is a projective birational morphism $\pi : X' \to X$ such that the exceptional divisor E supports a π-ample divisor and $\mathrm{Supp}(E + \pi_*^{-1}\Delta)$ is a simple normal crossing divisor.
Given a log resolution of (X,Δ), we can write

$$K_{X'} + \pi_*^{-1}\Delta + \sum b_i E_i = \pi^*(K_X + \Delta), \tag{1}$$

where the E_i's are the irreducible components of E.

Definition 2.1. *The log discrepancy of E_i with respect to Δ is $a(E_i; X, \Delta) := 1 - b_i$.*

Given a geometric valuation $V \subset k(X)$, there exists a log resolution $\pi : X' \to X$ on which V is realized as the valuation associated to an integral Cartier divisor $D \subset X$. The log discrepancy of V is the log discrepancy of D and the center of V on X, denoted $c_X(V)$ is the subvariety $\pi(D) \subset X$.

Definition 2.2. *The discrepancy of a pair (X,Δ) is*

$$\mathrm{discrep}(X,\Delta) := \inf\{\mathrm{a}(V; X, \Delta) \,|V \text{ geometric valuation, exceptional over } X\}.$$

For $Z \subset X$ an integral subvariety and η_Z its generic point, we define

$$\mathrm{a}(\eta_Z; X, \Delta) \quad = \quad \inf_{V,\, c_X(V)=Z} \mathrm{a}(V; X, \Delta).$$

The log discrepancy of divisorial valuations is the central object in the study of singularities of log pairs. It is a well known fact (cf. [KM98]), that

$$0 \leq \mathrm{discrep}(X,\Delta) \leq 2 \,\text{or}\, \mathrm{discrep}(X,\Delta) = -\infty.$$

The Minimal Model Program focuses on those pairs whose log discrepancy is non-negative.

Definition 2.3. *A log pair (X,Δ) is Kawamata log terminal (klt) (respectively log canonical (lc); divisorial log terminal (dlt)) if* $\mathrm{discrep}(X,\Delta) > 0$ *and* $\lfloor \Delta \rfloor = 0$ *(resp.* $\mathrm{discrep}(X,\Delta) \geq 0$*; if the coefficients of Δ are in $[0,1]$ and there exists a log resolution $\pi : X' \to X$ such that all exceptional divisors have log discrepancy < 1).*

2.1. The non-klt locus, lc centers and their stratification.

Definition 2.4. *Let (X,Δ) be a log pair and $Z \subset X$ an integral subvariety. Then, Z is a non Kawamata log terminal center (in short, a non-klt center) if* $\mathrm{a}(\eta_Z; X, \Delta) \leq 0$.
The non Kawamata log terminal locus (non-klt locus) of the pair (X,Δ), denoted $\mathrm{Nklt}(\Delta)$*, is the union of all the non klt centers of X,*

$$\mathrm{Nklt}(\Delta) := \bigcup_{\{Z \,|\, \mathrm{a}(\eta_Z; X, \Delta) \leq 0\}} Z.$$

The non log canonical locus (non lc locus) of the pair (X, Δ)*, denoted* $\mathrm{Nlc}(\Delta)$*, is the union of all the centers of strictly negative log discrepancy, i.e.*

$$\mathrm{Nlc}(\Delta) := \bigcup_{\{Z | \mathrm{a}(\eta_Z; X, \Delta) < 0\}} Z.$$

If we pass to a log resolution of (X, Δ), $\pi : X' \to X$ and write as in (1)

$$K_{X'} + \Delta_{X'} = K_{X'} + \sum_i b_i \Delta'_i = K_{X'} + \pi_*^{-1}\Delta + \sum b_i E_i = \pi^*(K_X + \Delta),$$

then $\mathrm{Nklt}(\Delta) = \pi(\mathrm{Supp}(\sum_{i|b_i \geq 1} \Delta'_i))$ and $\mathrm{Nlc}(\Delta) = \pi(\mathrm{Supp}(\sum_{i|b_i > 1} \Delta'_i))$.
The complement in X of $\mathrm{Nklt}(\Delta)$ is the biggest open set on which Δ has just klt singularities and, analogously, the complement of $\mathrm{Nlc}(\Delta)$ is the biggest open set of X on which Δ has lc singularities.
$\Delta^{=1}_{X'}$ is the source of lc centers of Δ. It is easy to see (cf. [KM98, Lemma 2.29]) that all valuations of log discrepancy 0 with respect to Δ that are not contained in $\mathrm{Nlc}(\Delta)$ are given either by the components of $\Delta^{=1}_{X'}$ or by blowing up the strata of $\Delta^{=1}_{X'}$ and repeating the same procedure. Hence, the lc centers are nothing but the closures of the lc centers for the pair $(X \setminus \mathrm{Nlc}(\Delta), \Delta|_{X \setminus \mathrm{Nlc}(\Delta)})$.
The union of the lc centers of (X, Δ) is a subvariety of X (or a subscheme), but it carries a richer structure. It is in fact a subvariety stratified by the lc centers and it will be important for us to keep track of the strata.

Definition 2.5. *Let* (X, Δ) *be a log pair. Given an lc center* W *for* (X, Δ)*, the total space of the stratification associated to* (X, Δ) *on* W *is given by*

$$\mathrm{Strat}(W, \Delta) := \bigcup_{\substack{W' \subsetneq W \\ W' \text{ lc center}}} W',$$

the union of the log canonical centers contained in W*.*

An important result about the structure of the non-klt locus, that we will need in the next sections of the paper, is the following connectedness theorem for negative maps, originally due to Shokurov.

Theorem 2.6. [K+92, Theorem 17.4] *Let* (X, Δ) *be an lc pair and let* $\phi : X \to Y$ *be a contraction of projective varieties, i.e.* $\phi_* \mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_Y$*. Assume that* $-(K_X + \Delta)$ *is* π*-nef and* π*-big. Then, every fiber of* π *has a neighborhood (in the classical topology) in which the* $\mathrm{Nklt}(\Delta)$ *is connected.*

2.2. **Dlt modifications.** When dealing with a pair (X, Δ) that is not log smooth, easy examples show that the adjunction formula might need the introduction of a correction term. That is, given a component D of Δ of coefficient 1, it could happen that

$$(K_X + \Delta)_{|D} \sim K_D + (\Delta - D)_{|D}$$

and $(\Delta - D)_{|D}$ is not simply obtained by taking the restrictions of the components of $\Delta - D$ to D. For more details on this, see [K+92, §16].
However, when (X, Δ) is dlt, it is possible to modify the theory and obtain something analogous to the classical adjunction setting, that furthermore behaves well when restricting to higher codimension lc centers.

Definition-Theorem 2.7. *Let $(X, \Delta \geq 0)$ be a dlt pair and $W \subset X$ a lc center. There exists on W a naturally defined $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $\mathrm{Diff}^*_W\Delta \geq 0$, the different of Δ such that*

$$(K_X + \Delta)|_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_W + \mathrm{Diff}^*_W\Delta.$$

The different can be defined inductively starting as in [K+92, Sec.16] *from the case in which $W = D$ is a divisor. Then*

$$(K_X + D + (\Delta - D))|_D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_D + \mathrm{Diff}^*_D\Delta.$$

Working inductively, $\mathrm{Diff}^*_W\Delta$ is defined analogously, whenever W is an irreducible component of a complete intersection of divisors in $\lfloor\Delta\rfloor$. In the case of dlt singularities, this is exactly how every lc center arises.

An important fact, that will be needed multiple times in the following sections is that, starting with an lc pair, there always exists a crepant resolution giving a dlt pair.

Theorem 2.8. *Let $(X, \Delta = \sum_i b_i D_i)$ be a log pair, $0 < b_i \leq 1$. Then there exists a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial pair $(Y, \Delta_Y = \sum_i b_i\Delta_i \geq 0)$ and a birational map $\pi : Y \to X$ with the following properties:*

(1) $K_Y + \Delta_Y = \pi^*(K_X + \Delta)$;
(2) the pair $(Y, \Delta'_Y := \sum_{i|b_i<1} b_i\Delta_i + \sum_{i|b_i\geq 1} \Delta_i)$ is dlt;
(3) every divisorial component of exc(π) appears in Δ'_Y with coefficient 1;
(4) $\pi^{-1}(\mathrm{Nklt}(\Delta)) = \mathrm{Nklt}(\Delta_Y) = \mathrm{Nklt}(\Delta'_Y)$.

Proof. For the proof of (1), (2), (3) one can refer to [KK10, 3.10]. Let $\pi_Z : (Z, \Delta_Z) \to X$ be a modification satisfying these properties. Then,

$$\Delta_Z = \Delta_Z^{<1} + \Delta_Z^{\geq 1} = \sum_{i|b_i<1} b_iD_i + \sum_{i|b_i\geq 1} b_iD_i \tag{2}$$

and $(Z, \Delta_Z^{<1})$ is a klt pair. Moreover, as $K_Z + \Delta_Z = \pi_Z^*(K_X + \Delta)$,

$$K_Z + \Delta_Z^{<1} \sim_{\phi,\mathbb{R}} -\Delta_Z^{\geq 1}. \tag{3}$$

Therefore, we can run a relative $(K_Z + \Delta_Z^{<1})$-MMP over X, as in [BCHM10], $\psi : (Z, \Delta_Z^{<1}) \dashrightarrow (Z', \Delta_{Z'}^{<1} := \psi_*\Delta_Z^{<1})$ and reach a model Z' on which the following conditions hold true:

a) $(Z', \Delta_{Z'}^{<1})$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial, klt pair;
b) $K_{Z'} + \Delta_{Z'}^{<1} + \Delta_{Z'}^{\geq 1} = \pi_{Z'}^*(K_X + \Delta)$, where $\Delta_{Z'}^{\geq 1} := \psi_*\Delta_Z^{\geq 1}$ and $\pi_{Z'} : Z' \to X$ is the structural map;
c) $K_{Z'} + \Delta_{Z'}^{<1}$ is $\pi_{Z'}$-nef and by (3) the same holds for $-\Delta_{Z'}^{\geq 1}$.

Properties a) and b) imply that $\mathrm{Nklt}(\Delta_{Z'}^{<1} + \Delta_{Z'}^{\geq 1}) = \mathrm{Supp}(\Delta_{Z'}^{\geq 1})$. In fact, the inclusion $\mathrm{Nklt}(\Delta_{Z'}^{<1} + \Delta_{Z'}^{\geq 1}) \supseteq \mathrm{Supp}(\Delta_{Z'}^{\geq 1})$ follows form Definition 2.4. To prove the other inclusion, let W be a non-klt center not contained in $\mathrm{Supp}(\Delta_{Z'}^{\geq 1})$. There exists a log resolution $r : (S, \Delta_S) \to (Z', \Delta_{Z'}^{<1} + \Delta_{Z'}^{\geq 1})$ and a component F_1 of D whose coefficient is ≥ 1 and $c_{Z'}(F_1) = W$. As $c_{Z'}(F_1) \nsubseteq \Delta_{Z'}^{\geq 1}$, it follows that $a(F_1; Z', \Delta_{Z'}^{<1}) \leq 0$ as well, which is impossible as $(Z', \Delta_{Z'}^{<1})$ is klt.
Finally, take another crepant dlt modification

$$\psi : (Y, \Delta_Y) \to (Z', \Delta_{Z'}^{<1} + \sum_{F_i \subset \mathrm{Supp}(\Delta_{Z'}^{\geq 1})} F_i)$$

with properties (1), (2), (3) from the statement of the theorem. $-\psi^*(\Delta_{Z'}^{\geq 1})$ will be a π-nef divisor, where $\pi = \pi_{Z'} \circ \psi$. The support of $-\psi^*(\Delta_{Z'}^{\geq 1})$ contains all and only those components of Δ_Y of coefficient ≥ 1. By negativity, [KM98, Lemma 3.39], $\pi : Y \to X$ satisfies condition (4) of the theorem.

□

3. Subadjunction on higher codimensional lc centers

3.1. Canonical bundle formula.

Definition 3.1. [FG12b] *An lc-trivial fibration is the datum of a contraction of normal varieties $\pi : Y \to Z$ and a pair (Y, Δ_Y) s.t.*

1. *(Y, Δ_Y) has sublc singularities over the generic point of Y, i.e. $\mathrm{Nlc}(\Delta_Y)$ does not dominate Z and Δ_Y could possibly contain components of negative coefficient;*
2. *$\mathrm{rank}\ \widetilde{\pi}_*\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{Y}}(\lceil \mathbb{A}^*(Y,\Delta)\rceil) = 1$, where $\tilde{\pi} = \pi \circ l$ and $l : \widetilde{Y} \to Y$ is a given log resolution of (Y, Δ_Y). $\mathbb{A}^*(Y,\Delta)$ is the b-divisor whose trace on $\tilde{Y}$ is defined by the following equality*
$$K_{\widetilde{Y}} = \widetilde{\pi}^*(K_Y + \Delta_Y) + \sum_{a_i \leq -1} a_i D_i + \mathbb{A}^*(Y,\Delta)_{\widetilde{Y}}.$$
3. *there exist $r \in \mathbb{N}$, a rational function $\phi \in k(Y)$ and a $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor D on Y s.t.*
$$K_Y + \Delta_Y + \frac{1}{r}(\phi) = \pi^* D, \quad \text{i.e. } K_Y + \Delta_Y \sim_{\pi,\mathbb{Q}} 0. \tag{4}$$

At times, we will denote an lc-trivial structure by $\pi : (Y, \Delta_Y) \to Z$.

Definition 3.2. *An integral subvariety $W \subset Z$ is a log canonical center of an lc-trivial fibration $\pi : Y \to Z$, if it is the image of an lc center $W_Y \subset Y$ for (Y, Δ_Y).*

Remark 3.3. A sufficient condition for (2) in definition 3.1 to hold is that Δ_Y is log canonical, in which case,
$$\lceil \mathbb{A}^*(Y,\Delta_Y)_{\widetilde{Y}} \rceil = \lceil K_{\widetilde{Y}} - \pi^*(K_Y + \Delta_Y) + \sum_{a(E,Y,\Delta_Y)=1} E \rceil$$
is always exceptional over Y.
Let us notice that under this hypothesis, an lc-trivial fibration is also a crepant log structure in the sense of [Kol11, Def. 2].

Example 3.4. One of the main reasons to study lc-trivial fibrations comes from resolutions and (sub)adjunction. Let (X, Δ) be an lc pair and $W \subset X$ a lc center. In the purely lc case, when (X, Δ) is not dlt, the structure of $\mathrm{Nklt}(\Delta)$ is not as easily determined as in Theorem 2.7. Nonetheless, Theorem 2.8 shows that it is always possible to pass to a dlt pair crepant to the original one. Let $\pi : X' \to X$ be a dlt modification as in the theorem, with
$$K_{X'} + \Delta_{X'} = \pi^*(K_X + \Delta_X).$$
Let S be a log canonical center of $\Delta_{X'}$, i.e. an irreducible component of intersections of components of coefficient 1, and W its image on X. Taking the contraction in the Stein factorization of $\pi_{|S} : S \to W$ and considering the pair $(S, \mathrm{Diff}_S^*\Delta_{X'})$ yields an lc-trivial fibration.

Starting with an lc center S, minimal among those dominating W, the singularities of $(S, \mathrm{Diff}^*_S \Delta_{X'})$ are actually of klt type over the generic point of W.

Definition 3.5. *Given an lc-trivial fibration $\pi : (Y, \Delta_Y) \to Z$ as above, let $T \subseteq Z$ be a prime (Weil) divisor in Z. The log canonical threshold of $\pi^*(T)$ with respect to the pair (X, Δ) is*

$$\mathrm{a}_T = \sup\{t \in \mathbb{R} | (Y, \Delta_Y + t\pi^*(T)) \text{ is lc over } T\}.$$

We define the discriminant of $\pi : (Y, \Delta_Y) \to Z$ to be the divisor

$$B_Z := \sum_T (1 - \mathrm{a}_T)T. \tag{5}$$

It is easy to verify that the above sum is finite: a necessary condition for a prime divisor to have non-zero coefficient is to be dominated by some component of B_Z of non-zero coefficient. These component are a finite number on Y. Hence, B_Z is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Weil divisor.

Definition 3.6. *Let $\pi : (Y, \Delta_Y) \to Z$ be an lc-trivial fibration. With the same notation as in equation (4), fix $\phi \in k(Y)$ for which $K_Y + \Delta_Y + \frac{1}{r}(\phi) = \pi^* D$. Then there is a unique divisor M_Z for which the following equality holds*

$$K_Y + \Delta_Y + \frac{1}{r}(\phi) = \pi^*(K_Z + B_Z + M_Z). \tag{6}$$

The $\mathbb{Q}$-Weil divisor M_Z is called the moduli part.

When dealing with an lc-trivial fibration, $\pi : (Y, \Delta_Y) \to Z$, one can pass to a higher birational model of Z, Z', take the normalization of the main component of the fiber product $Y \times_Z Z'$ (or a higher birational model of the latter), Y' and form the corresponding cartesian diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xleftarrow{r_Y} & Y' \\ {\scriptstyle\pi}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\pi'} \\ Z & \xleftarrow{r} & Z'. \end{array} \tag{7}$$

By base change, we get a new pair, $(Y', \Delta_{Y'})$, from the formula

$$K_{Y'} + \Delta_{Y'} = r^*_{Y'}(K_Y + \Delta_Y).$$

It follows from the definition that, under these hypotheses, $\pi' : (Y', \Delta_{Y'}) \to Z'$ will be an lc-trivial fibration as well, allowing to compute $B_{Z'}$ and $M_{Z'}$.
The discriminant and the moduli divisor have a birational nature: they are b-divisors, as their definition immediately implies that

$$r_* B_{Z'} = B_Z, \text{ and } r_* M_{Z'} = M_Z.$$

We will denote them using $\mathbb{B}, \mathbb{M}$.
Fujino and Gongyo proved, generalizing results of Ambro, that these divisors have interesting features; in particular, they descend to a (high enough) birational model of Z and $\mathbb{M}_{Z'}$ is very close to being semi-ample.

Theorem 3.7. *([FG12b], [Amb05]) Let $\pi : (Y, \Delta_Y) \to Z$ be an lc-trivial fibration. There exists a birational model Z' of Z on which the following properties are satisfied:*

(i) *$K_{Z'} + \mathbb{B}_{Z'}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier, and $\mu^*(K_{Z'} + \mathbb{B}_{Z'}) = K_{Z''} + \mathbb{B}_{Z''}$ for every higher model $\mu : Z'' \to Z'$.*

(ii) $\mathbb{M}_{Z'}$ *is nef and* $\mathbb{Q}$*-Cartier. Moreover,* $\mu^*(\mathbb{M}_{Z'}) = \mathbb{M}_{Z''}$ *for every higher model* $\mu : Z'' \to Z'$*. More precisely, it is b-nef and good, i.e. there is a contraction* $h : Z' \to T$ *and* $\mathbb{M}_{Z'} = h^*H$*, for some* H *big and nef on* Z'*.*

4. Mori hyperbolicity

Definition 4.1. *Let* $(X, \Delta = \sum_i b_i D_i \geq 0)$*,* $0 < b_i \leq 1$ *be a log pair. We say that* (X, Δ) *is a Mori hyperbolic pair if*

(1) there is no non constant map $f : \mathbb{A}^1 \to X \setminus \mathrm{Nklt}(\Delta)$*;*
(2) for any $W \subset X$ *lc center, there is no non constant map*

$$f : \mathbb{A}^1 \to W \setminus \{(W \cap \mathrm{Nlc}(\Delta)) \cup \mathrm{Strat}(W, \Delta)\}.$$

The following result is already implicitly contained in [LZ12, §4]. We restate it here for the reader's convenience since it is not stated in this generality. This is the starting point of our approach for Theorem 1.1 and it will be used in the course of its proof.

Proposition 4.2. *Let* $(X, \Delta = \sum_i b_i D_i \geq 0)$ *be a normal, projective,* $\mathbb{Q}$*-factorial log pair such that* $(X, \Delta' = \sum_{i|b_i<1} b_i D_i + \sum_{i|b_i \geq 1} D_i)$ *is dlt.*
Suppose that $K_X + \Delta$ *is nef when restricted to* $\mathrm{Supp}(\Delta^{\geq 1})$*. Then,*

- *either* $K_X + \Delta$ *is nef or*
- *there exists a non constant map* $f : \mathbb{A}^1 \to X \setminus \mathrm{Nklt}(\Delta)$*.*

Proof. Suppose $K_X + \Delta$ is not nef. Then, there exists a $K_X + \Delta$-negative extremal ray, R, in the cone of effective curves, $\overline{NE}_1(X)$. Since $K_X + \Delta$ is nef on $\mathrm{Nklt}(\Delta)$, R is both a $K_X + \Delta'$-negative and a $K_X + \Delta^{<1}$-negative extremal ray. In particular, there exists an extremal contraction $\mu : X \to S$ associated to R.
As R does not contain classes of curves laying in $\mathrm{Nklt}(\Delta)$, μ induces a finite map when restricted to $\mathrm{Nklt}(\Delta)$. Thus, the $\mathbb{Q}$-factoriality of X implies that we are in either of these three cases:

1) μ is a Mori fiber space and all the fibers are one dimensional;
2) μ is birational and the exceptional locus does not intersect $\mathrm{Nklt}(\Delta)$;
3) μ is birational and the exceptional locus intersects $\mathrm{Nklt}(\Delta)$.

As μ is a $K_X + \Delta^{<1}$-negative fibration and $K_X + \Delta^{<1}$ is klt, then all of its fibers are rational chain connected, by [HM07, Corollary 1.5], and $R^1\mu_*\mathcal{O}_W = 0$, by relative KV-vanishing and Theorem 2.6 implies that $\mathrm{Nklt}(\Delta') = \mathrm{Nklt}(\Delta)$ is connected in a neighborhood of every fiber.
In case 1), the generic fiber of μ is a smooth projective rational curve and intersects $\mathrm{Nklt}(\Delta)$ in at most one point. In case 2), as fibers are rationally chain connected, then there exists a rational projective curve contained in $X \setminus \mathrm{Nklt}(\Delta)$. In case 3), the positive dimensional fibers are chains of rational curves, the generic one is a chain of smooth one and it intersects $\mathrm{Nklt}(\Delta)$ in at most one point. In particular, there exists a complete rational curve C such that $C \cap X \setminus \lfloor \Delta \rfloor = f(\mathbb{A}^1)$, where f is a non constant map.

□

In the case of a general log pair, using dlt modifications we get the following criterion, which will be fundamental in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 4.3. *Let $(X, \Delta = \sum_i b_i D_i \geq 0), 0 < b_i \leq 1$ be a log pair. Assume that there is no non constant map $f : \mathbb{A}^1 \to X \setminus \mathrm{Nklt}(\Delta)$.*
Then $K_X + \Delta$ is nef iff $K_X + \Delta$ is nef when restricted to $\mathrm{Nklt}(\Delta)$.

Proof. Nefness of $K_X + \Delta$ immediately implies nefness of its restriction to every subscheme of X. Hence, we just have to prove the converse implication.
Let $\pi : (X', \Delta_{X'}) \to (X, \Delta)$ be a dlt modification for (X, Δ) as in Theorem 2.8. We can reduce to proving nefness for $K_{X'} + \Delta_{X'}$. As $\pi(\mathrm{Nklt}(\Delta_{X'})) = \mathrm{Nklt}(\Delta)$, $K_{X'} + \Delta_{X'}$ is nef when restricted to $\mathrm{Nklt}(\Delta_{X'})$.
Suppose $K_{X'} + \Delta'$ is not nef. By the proposition, there exists a non constant map $f : \mathbb{A}^1 \to X' \setminus \mathrm{Nklt}(\Delta')$. This contradicts the assumption in the statement of the corollary, as the properties of dlt modifications imply that the image of $\pi \circ f$ lies in $X \setminus \mathrm{Nklt}(\Delta)$. □

Let us notice that in the above corollary, we did not impose any condition on the singularities of Δ, besides the coefficients being in $[0, 1]$. This is surely a good starting point to answer Question 1.7, although we are not able to complete our strategy. The reader can find a more eloquent explanation of the problems involved in Remark 5.7.

Remark 4.4. One might wonder why the results exposed in this section, together with the existence of special dlt modifications proved in Theorem 2.8, do not directly imply Theorem 1.1.
Of course, given the inductive nature of Mori hyperbolicity (which is particularly evident in the snc or dlt case), it would seem natural to apply the above corollary. In this case, the problem has a twofold nature. For lc pairs, adjunction is not necessarily working for lc centers of codimension greater than 1. In order to solve this first issue, one can take a dlt modification

$$\pi : (X', \Delta_{X'}) \to (X, \Delta)$$

and prove nefness of $K_{X'} + \Delta_{X'} = \pi^*(K_X + \Delta)$. As adjunction works fine on X', once we fix S, an lc center for $\Delta_{X'}$, we can prove that $K_{X'} + \Delta_{X'}$ is nef along S, under the assumption that it is already nef along the lc centers contained in S.
With this strategy, a new problem arises: in fact, it is not clear whether Mori hyperbolicity is stable under dlt modifications.
If $(K_{X'} + \Delta_{X'})_{|S} = K_S + \mathrm{Diff}^*_S \Delta_{X'}$ is not nef, there is a non constant map $f : \mathbb{A}^1 \to S \setminus \mathrm{Nklt}(\mathrm{Diff}^*_S \Delta_{X'})$.
It may a priori happen that while the image of f does not intersect $\mathrm{Nklt}(\mathrm{Diff}^*_S \Delta_{X'})$, $(\pi \circ f)^{-1}(\mathrm{Nklt}(\Delta))$ instead consists of multiple points $p_1, \ldots, p_k$, $k \geq 2$. This would not necessarily contradict Mori hyperbolicity of (X, Δ), as the image of $\pi \circ f$ intersects $\mathrm{Nklt}(\Delta)$. The tricky part in the proof of Theorem 1.1 consists in showing that such a phenomenon cannot happen.

5. Proof of theorem 1.1

We will work inductively on the strata of $\mathrm{Nklt}(\Delta)$. Namely, we will prove that $K_X + \Delta$ is nef when restricted to every stratum of $\mathrm{Nklt}(\Delta)$. As the union of all the strata is the non-klt locus itself, the theorem will follow from Corollary 4.3.

Step 1. Start of the induction: the case of minimal lc centers.
When W is a minimal lc center, then nefness follows from classical results in the MMP.

Proposition 5.1. *If W is a minimal non-klt center of a Mori hyperbolic pair (X, Δ), then $(K_X + \Delta)$ is nef on W.*

Proof. The statement is a consequence of Kawamata subadjunction (see [FG12a], [Amb05] or [Kaw91]). In fact, as W is a minimal non-klt center, there exists an effective boundary $\Delta_W \in \mathrm{Pic}(W)$, s.t. $(K_X + \Delta)_{|W} \sim_{\mathbb{R}} K_W + \Delta_W$. Mori hyperbolicity implies that W does not contain rational curves, hence $K_W + \Delta_W$ must be nef by the Cone theorem. □

Step 2. Moving the computation to the spring of W.
We assume now that W is no longer minimal and that $K_X + \Delta$ is nef when restricted to any other stratum W' contained in W. Recall the following notation

$$\mathrm{Strat}(W, \Delta) = \bigcup_{\substack{W' \subset W, \\ W' \text{ lc center}}} W'$$

to indicate the union of all substrata contained in W.
Let us fix a dlt modification of (X, Δ), $\pi : (X', \Delta) \to (X, \Delta)$. We also fix a non-klt center $W \subset X$ and let $S \subset X'$ be an lc center, minimal among those dominating W. Let us consider the Stein factorization

$$\pi_{|S} : S \xrightarrow{\pi_S} W_S \xrightarrow{\mathrm{spr}_W} W.$$

W_S is a normal projective variety, equipped with the $\mathbb{R}$-divisor

$$L := \mathrm{spr}_W^*(K_X + \Delta_{|W}).$$

The map $\pi_S : S \to W_S$ is an lc-trivial fibration with respect to $\Delta_S = \mathrm{Diff}_S^* \Delta_{X'}$ on S, as we saw in Example 3.4 and it is also a dlt log crepant structure. The following theorem, due to Kollár, shows that the contraction $\pi_S : S \to W_S$ already contains all the relevant data in terms of geometry of the non-klt locus.

Theorem 5.2. [Kol11, Cor. 11] *Let $\pi : (Y, \Delta) \to Z$ be a dlt crepant log structure and $S \subset Y$ be a lc center, with $\pi(S) = W$. Consider the Stein factorization*

$$\pi|_W : S \xrightarrow{\pi_S} W_S \xrightarrow{\mathrm{spr}_W} W$$

and let $\Delta_S := \mathrm{Diff}_S^* \Delta_Y$ be the different of Δ_Y on S. Then:

1. *$\pi_S : (S, \Delta_S) \to W_S$ is a dlt, crepant log structure;*
2. *Given a lc center $Z_S \subset W_S$ for π_S, $\mathrm{spr}_W(Z_W) \subset W$ is a lc center for $\pi : (Y, \Delta_Y) \to Z$. Every minimal lc center of (S, Δ_S) dominating Z_S is also a minimal lc center of (Y, Δ_Y) and dominates $\pi(Z_W)$.*
3. *For $Z \subset W$ a lc center of $\pi|_S : (S, \Delta_S) \to W$, every irreducible component of $\mathrm{spr}_W^{-1}(Z)$ is an lc center of $\pi_S : (S, \Delta_S) \to W_S$. We denote the total space of this stratification by*

$$\mathrm{Strat}(W_S, \Delta_S) := \bigcup_{\substack{W' \subset W, \\ W' \text{ lc center}}} \bigcup_{\substack{V \text{ irreducible} \\ \text{component of} \\ \mathrm{spr}^{-1}(W)}} V.$$

Kollár also proved, [Kol11, Thm. 1], that, assuming the minimality of S among the lc centers dominating W, the isomorphism class of W_S is independent of the choice of a resolution and S. The same holds true for the crepant birational equivalence class of (S, Δ_S). We will call them the spring and the source of W with respect to (X, Δ), respectively.

Clearly, proving nefness of $(K_X+\Delta)_{|W}$ is equivalent to proving nefness of L. Moreover, we can assume that L is nef on $\mathrm{Strat}(W_S,\Delta_S)$, by the inductive assumption and since

$$\mathrm{Strat}(W_S,\Delta_S)=\mathrm{spr_W}^{-1}(\mathrm{Strat}(W,\Delta)),$$

by (3) in Theorem 5.2.
Hence, without loss of generality, we could substitute the triple $(W_S,L,\mathrm{Strat}(W_S,\Delta_S))$ to $(W,K_X+\Delta_{|W},\mathrm{Strat}(W,\Delta))$. In fact, if L is not nef, then we will show that there exists a non constant map $f:\mathbb{A}^1\to W_S\setminus\mathrm{Strat}(W_S,\Delta_S)$. Theorem 5.2 then implies the existence of non constant map $f':\mathbb{A}^1\to W\setminus\mathrm{Strat}(W,\Delta)$, violating the Mori hyperbolicity assumption for W.
To ease the notation, in the following we will denote W_S simply by W and $\mathrm{Strat}(W_S,\Delta_S)$ by $\mathrm{Strat}(W,\Delta_S)$.

Step 3. Constructing a good approximation for L on W.
By the results of Section 3, there exist a sufficiently high birational model S' of S, W' of W and a commutative diagram

(8)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \xleftarrow{r_{S'}} & S' \\ {\scriptstyle\pi_S}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\pi_{S'}} \\ W & \xleftarrow{r} & W'. \end{array}$$

having the following properties:

(1) $r^*(L)=K_{W'}+\mathbb{B}_{W'}+\mathbb{M}_{W'}$;
(2) $(W',\mathbb{B}_{W'})$ is log smooth and sublc, i.e. $\mathbb{B}_{W'}$ is not necessarily effective;
(3) $K_{W'}+\mathbb{B}_{W'}$ descends and $\mathbb{M}_{W'}$ is nef and abundant.
(4) $(S',\Delta_{S'})$ is a sublc pair, where $K'_S+\Delta_{S'}=r^*_{S'}(K_S+\Delta_S)$;

In this context, we compare singularities of $(W',\mathbb{B}_{W'})$ with those of the original pair (W,Δ). They are not too far apart, as we can recover the stratification on W just by looking at the image of the non-klt locus of the divisor $\mathbb{B}_{\tilde{W}}$.

Lemma 5.3. *With above notation and hypotheses, we have that* $r(\mathrm{Nklt}(\mathbb{B}_{W'}))=\mathrm{Strat}(W,\Delta_S)$.

Proof. We know that $r_{S'}(\mathrm{Nklt}(\Delta_{S'}))=\mathrm{Nklt}(\Delta_S)$ and $\pi_S(\mathrm{Nklt}(\Delta_S))=\mathrm{Strat}(W,\Delta)$. As the diagram in (8) commutes, we need prove that $\pi_{S'}(\mathrm{Nklt}(\Delta_{S'}))=\mathrm{Nklt}(\mathbb{B}_{W'})$. The definition of $\mathbb{B}_{W'}$ implies that every stratum of $\mathrm{Nklt}(\mathbb{B}_{W'})\subset W'$ is dominated by a stratum of $\mathrm{Nklt}(\Delta_{S'})$, hence $\mathrm{Nklt}(\mathbb{B}_{W'})\subset\pi_{S'}(\mathrm{Nklt}(\Delta_{S'}))$. The opposite inclusion is also true, as given a stratum of $\mathrm{Nklt}(\Delta_{S'})$, up to going to higher models of W' and S', we can suppose that D is a divisor whose image on W', D', is again a divisors. In this case, by the definition of $\mathbb{B}_{W'}$ and since it descends to W', $D'\subset\mathrm{Nklt}(\mathbb{B}_{W'})$. Thus, $\mathrm{Nklt}(\mathbb{B}_{W'})\supset\pi_{S'}(\mathrm{Nklt}(\Delta_{S'}))$.
□

As proving that L is nef is equivalent to proving that, for any given ample Cartier divisor A on W and any given $\epsilon>0$, $L+\epsilon A$ is nef, we focus on the divisor

(9)
$$r^*(L+\epsilon A)=K_{W'}+\mathbb{B}_{W'}+\mathbb{M}_{W'}+r^*(\epsilon A).$$

By construction, we can assume that there exists an effective divisor E supported on the exceptional locus of r and $-E$ is relatively ample/W. Hence, there exists a

positive number $\theta_\epsilon \ll \epsilon$, such that for any $0 < \delta \leq \theta_\epsilon$, $\mathbb{M}_{W'} + r^*(\epsilon A) - \delta E$ is an ample divisor on W'.

Lemma 5.4. *For every $\epsilon > 0$, there is a suitable choice of δ and of an effective $\mathbb{R}$-divisor $Q_\epsilon \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{M}_{W'} + r^*(\epsilon A) - \delta E$ for which the following equalities hold*

$$\mathrm{Nklt}(\mathbb{B}_{W'} + \delta E + Q_\epsilon) = \mathrm{Nklt}(\mathbb{B}_{W'} + \delta E) = \mathrm{Nklt}(\mathbb{B}_{W'}).$$

With this notation,

$$r^*(L + \epsilon A) \sim_{\mathbb{R}} K_{W'} + \mathbb{B}_{W'} + \delta E + Q_\epsilon. \tag{10}$$

Proof. The first equality is a consequence of [Laz04, Proposition 9.2.26], once we choose δ small enough so that Q_ϵ is ample. The second equality follows immediately from the fact that we can choose δ to be arbitrarily small, since $(W', \mathbb{B}_{W'})$ is log smooth and sublc. □

Step 4. End of the proof.
Using Lemma 5.4, we define a new divisor on W

$$\Gamma_\epsilon := r_*(\mathbb{B}_{W'} + \delta E + Q_\epsilon).$$

(W, Γ_ϵ) is a log pair and its coefficients are real numbers in $[0, 1]$. By construction, the coefficients of $\mathbb{B}_{W'} + \delta_\epsilon E + Q_\epsilon$ strictly larger than 1 were those of components exceptional over W. Also, $L + \epsilon A \sim_{\mathbb{R}} K_W + \Gamma_\epsilon$ and we are reduced to proving nefness for $K_W + \Gamma_\epsilon$, for $\epsilon \ll 1$. (W, Γ_ϵ) fails to be lc but $\mathrm{Nklt}(\Gamma_\epsilon) = \mathrm{Strat}(W, \Delta_S)$, by Lemma 5.3 and Lemma 5.4. $K_W + \Gamma_\epsilon$ is nef, more precisely ample, when restricted to its non-klt locus. Hence, it is nef on W by Corollary 4.3. Since this holds for arbitrary choice of $\epsilon > 0$, also L is nef on W, terminating the proof of the inductive step and of the theorem. □

Remark 5.5. In Section 5, we proved the following (very) weak version of (quasi log canonical) subadjunction. Surely, this is not the most desirable version of subadjunction that it is expected to hold, as we explain below.

Theorem 5.6. *Let (Y, Δ) be a log canonical pair, $\pi : Y \to Z$ a lc trivial fibration and A an ample divisor on Z. Then for all $\epsilon, \delta > 0$, there exists an effective divisor $\Gamma_{\epsilon,\delta}$, with coefficients in $[0, 1]$, then $\Gamma_{\epsilon,\delta}$ satisfies the linear equivalence relation*

$$K_Z + \mathbb{B}_Z + \mathbb{M}_Z + \epsilon A \sim_{\mathbb{R}} K_Z + \Gamma_{\epsilon,\delta}.$$

$(Z, \Gamma_{\epsilon,\delta})$ is not log canonical, but there exists a log resolution $\pi : Z' \to Z$ such that the log discrepancy of the π-exceptional divisors is bounded below by $-\delta$, i.e.

$$a(E; Z, \Gamma_{\epsilon,\delta}) > -\delta, \ E \subset Z' \text{ prime divisor}, \ \pi - \text{exceptional}.$$

A much stronger result should hold under the hypotheses of Theorem 5.6. The moduli b-divisor, $\mathbb{M}$, is expected to be semi-ample on a sufficiently high birational model of Z. That would easily imply that, for a certain choice of $\mathbb{M}_Z$, $(Z, \mathbb{B}_Z + \mathbb{M}_Z)$ is log canonical. If that were to be true, the proof of Theorem 1.1 could be considerably simplified. In fact, L would be linearly equivalent to the lc divisor $K_Z + \mathbb{B}_Z + \mathbb{M}_Z$ and

$$\mathrm{Nklt}(\mathbb{B}_Z + \mathbb{M}_Z) = \mathrm{Nklt}(\mathbb{B}_Z) = \mathrm{Strat}(W, \Delta).$$

Remark 5.7. To address Question 1.7, one could mimic the same proof as for Theorem 1.1. Namely, starting with a log pair (X, Δ) such that the coefficients of Δ are in $[0, 1]$, no matter what the singularities of Δ are, it is sufficient to prove that $K_X + \Delta$ is nef on $\mathrm{Nklt}(\Delta)$, by Corollary 4.3. As there is very few control on the non lc locus of Δ (cf. [Amb03, Theorem 0.2]), it seems inevitable to assume the nefness for the restriction of $K_X + \Delta$. In this setting, the formalism of canonical bundle formula is not available anymore, but in order to study adjunction or just the restriction of $K_X + \Delta$ to lc centers of Δ, the formalism of log varieties can be introduced (cf. [Amb03] and [Fuj09]). Again, working by induction, one can restrict to a given stratum, W, and assume that nefness is known for the smaller strata and the intersection with the non-lc locus. Assuming by contradiction that $(K_X + \Delta)_{|W}$ is not nef, then we can find a contraction map $\pi : W \to S$ which contracts curves with $(K_X + \Delta)_{|W}$-negative class in a given extremal ray contained in $\overline{NE}_1(X)$. It is not hard to prove that the fibers of π will contain rational curves. The hard part is to prove that it is possible to deform one of these curves to a rational curve whose normalization supports the pull-back of Δ at most one point. The classical tool to deform curves is surely the Bend and Break Lemma, although in this case, we need not only to be able to deform a curve, but also we would like to be able to control its intersection with the components of Δ. Hence, ideally, one would like to prove a stronger version of the Bend and Break Lemma that makes the above construction possible.

In the proof of the Theorem 1.1, we showed that if $K_X + \Delta$ is not nef, there is a non constant map $f : \mathbb{A}^1 \to X$ whose image is contained in an lc center $W \subset X$ and its does not intersects the lc centers contained in W. In particular, from the inductive procedure used in the proof, we see that W is a minimal lc center, among those on which the restriction of $K_X + \Delta$ is not nef. Hence, we can restate this fact as the following generalization of the Cone Theorem.

Theorem 5.8. *Let (X, Δ) be a log canonical pair and let $\overline{NE}_1(X)$ be the closure of the cone spanned by effective curves. Then, there exists countably many $K_X + \Delta$-negative rational curves C_i such that*

$$\overline{NE}_1(X) = \overline{NE}_1(X)_{K_X+\Delta\geq 0} + \sum_{i\in I} \mathbb{R}^+[C_i].$$

Moreover, one of the two following conditions hold:

- *$C_i \cap X \setminus \mathrm{Nklt}(\Delta)$ contains the image of a non constant map $f : \mathbb{A}^1 \to X \setminus \mathrm{Nklt}(\Delta)$;*
- *there exists an lc center $W \subset X$ such that $C_i \cap W \setminus \mathrm{Strat}(W, \Delta)$ contains the image of a non constant map $f : \mathbb{A}^1 \to W \setminus \mathrm{Strat}(W, \Delta)$.*

6. Ampleness and pseudo-effectiveness for Mori hyperbolic pairs

In the dlt case, one can go further and describe conditions that imply ampleness of $K_X + \Delta$ as described in Theorem 1.3 in the Introduction. Let us first give a definition.

Definition 6.1. *Let (X, Δ) a log canonical pair. A $\mathbb{R}$-divisor D is log big (with respect to (X, Δ)) if D is big and $D_{|W}$ is big for any lc center W of Δ.*

Proposition 6.2. *Let (X, Δ) be a log canonical pair. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) $K_X + \Delta$ is ample;
(2) $K_X + \Delta$ is big, its restriction to $\lfloor \Delta \rfloor$ is ample and it has strictly positive degree on every rational curve intersecting $X \setminus \mathrm{Nklt}(\Delta)$.

If (X, Δ) is dlt, then the above conditions are also equivalent to:

(3) $K_X + \Delta$ is nef and log big and it has strictly positive degree on every rational curve.

Remark 6.3. The assumption on the bigness of $K_X + \Delta$ in the proposition is necessary as the following example shows.
Let, in fact, $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \times C$ be a surface, where C is a curve of genus $g \geq 2$ and let us consider the pair $(X, D := p^*(\{\infty\} + \{0\}))$, where p is the projection onto the first factor. Then, $K_X + D$ is ample when restricted to the non-klt locus of D — which is given by two fibers of D. Nonetheless, $K_X + D = q^*(K_C)$, where q is the projection onto the second factor; thus, it is not ample.

Proof. Clearly (1) implies (2) and (3).
(2) implies that $K_X + \Delta$ is nef. In fact, by the Cone Theorem, an extremal ray contained in $\overline{NE}_1(X)$ on which $K_X + \Delta$ is negative is spanned by the class of a rational curve, $C \subset X$. As $K_X + \Delta$ is ample along $\lfloor \Delta \rfloor$, C must intersect $X \setminus \lfloor \Delta \rfloor$. But then, by the assumption $K_X + \Delta \cdot C > 0$, which gives a contradiction. Hence, $K_X + \Delta$ is big and nef and so it is semiample, by [Fuj09, Thm. 4.1]. The associated map is either an isomorphism or it has to contract some rational curves intersecting $X \setminus \lfloor \Delta \rfloor$ as implied by [HM07, Thm. 1.2]. But this gives also a contradiction, as the intersection of $K_X + \Delta$ with such curve is strictly positive. Then (2) implies (1).
Let us prove that (3) implies (2). Since $K_X + \Delta$ is nef and log big, it is also semiample. We can prove the assertion by induction on the $\dim X$. The case $\dim X = 1$ is straightforward. Restricting to the components of $\lfloor \Delta \rfloor$ and using Theorem 2.7, by the inductive hypothesis it follows that $K_X + \Delta$ is ample along $\lfloor \Delta \rfloor$, which concludes the proof.

□

Theorem 6.4. *Let (X, Δ) be a Mori hyperbolic log canonical pair.*
Then, the following are equivalent:

(1) $K_X + \Delta$ is ample;
(2) $K_X + \Delta$ is big and its restriction to $\lfloor \Delta \rfloor$ is ample.

If (X, Δ) is dlt, then the above conditions are also equivalent to:

3) $K_X + \Delta$ is log big.

Remark 6.5. As (X, Δ) being Mori hyperbolic implies that $K_X + \Delta$ is nef, condition 2) in the corollary is equivalent to the condition stated in Theorem 1.3:

$$(K_X + \Delta)^{\dim X} > 0 \text{ and } (K_X + \Delta_{|W})^{\dim W} > 0, \text{ for any lc center } W.$$

Proof. Again, (1) implies (2) and (3). Moreover, as (X, Δ) Mori hyperbolic, it is nef.

Let us prove that (2) implies (1). As $K_X + \Delta$ is big and ample along $\lfloor \Delta \rfloor$, to prove its ampleness on X, it suffices to prove that $K_X + \Delta$ intersects all rational curves on X with strictly positive degree. Let us assume there exists a rational

curve C such that $K_X + \Delta \cdot C = 0$. We can assume that $K_X + (\Delta - \epsilon\lfloor\Delta\rfloor) \cdot C < 0$, for $\epsilon \ll 1$. Notice that $K_X + (\Delta - \epsilon\lfloor\Delta\rfloor)$ is still gonna be ample along $\lfloor\Delta\rfloor$. Passing to a dlt modification as in Theorem 2.8, we can assume X is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial and the proof now terminates the same way as for Proposition 4.2: $C \cap X \setminus \lfloor\Delta\rfloor = f(\mathbb{A}^1)$, where f is a non constant map.

If (3) holds, i.e. (X, Δ) is a Mori hyperbolic dlt pair and $K_X + \Delta$ is log big, then we prove its ampleness by induction on $\dim X$. By inductive hypothesis and the dltness assumption, $K_X + \Delta$ is going to be ample along $\lfloor\Delta\rfloor$. Hence (3) implies (2) and this terminates the proof. □

References

[Amb99] F. Ambro, *The Adjunction Conjecture and its applications*, ArXiv e-prints (1999).

[Amb03] ———, *Quasi-log varieties*, Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova **240** (2003), no. Biratsion. Geom. Linein. Sist. Konechno Porozhdennye Algebry, 220–239. MR 1993751 (2004f:14027) 3, 15

[Amb05] ———, *The moduli b-divisor of an lc-trivial fibration*, Compos. Math. **141** (2005), no. 2, 385–403. MR 2134273 (2006d:14015) 9, 12

[BCHM10] C. Birkar, P. Cascini, C. D. Hacon, and J. McKernan, *Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **23** (2010), no. 2, 405–468. MR 2601039 (2011f:14023) 7

[Dem12] J.-P. Demailly, *Kobayashi pseudo-metrics, entire curves and hyperbolicity of algebraic varieties*, 07 2012. 3

[FG12a] O. Fujino and Y. Gongyo, *On canonical bundle formulas and subadjunctions*, Michigan Math. J. **61** (2012), no. 2, 255–264. MR 2944479 12

[FG12b] ———, *On the moduli b-divisors of lc-trivial fibrations*, ArXiv e-prints (2012). 8, 9

[Flo14] E. Floris, *Inductive approach to effective b-semiampleness*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2014), no. 6, 1465–1492. MR 3180598

[FM00] O. Fujino and S. Mori, *A canonical bundle formula*, J. Differential Geom. **56** (2000), no. 1, 167–188. MR 1863025 (2002h:14091)

[Fuj03] O. Fujino, *A canonical bundle formula for certain algebraic fiber spaces and its applications*, Nagoya Math. J. **172** (2003), 129–171. MR 2019523 (2005b:14024)

[Fuj09] ———, *Introduction to the log minimal model program for log canonical pairs*, ArXiv e-prints (2009). 15, 16

[HM07] C. D. Hacon and J. Mckernan, *On Shokurov's rational connectedness conjecture*, Duke Math. J. **138** (2007), no. 1, 119–136. MR 2309156 (2008f:14030) 10, 16

[K$^+$92] J. Kollár et al., *Flips and abundance for algebraic threefolds*, Flips and abundance for algebraic threefolds, Astérisque, vol. 211, SMF, 1992, pp. i+258. 6, 7

[Kaw91] Y. Kawamata, *On the length of an extremal rational curve*, Invent. Math. **105** (1991), no. 3, 609–611. MR 1117153 (92m:14026) 12

[KK10] J. Kollár and S. J. Kovács, *Log canonical singularities are Du Bois*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **23** (2010), no. 3, 791–813. MR 2629988 (2011m:14061) 7

[KM98] J. Kollár and S. Mori, *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 134, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998, With the collaboration of C. H. Clemens and A. Corti, Translated from the 1998 Japanese original. MR 1658959 (2000b:14018) 5, 6, 8

[Kol11] J. Kollár, *Sources of log canonical centers*, ArXiv e-prints (2011). 8, 12

[Laz04] R. Lazarsfeld, *Positivity in algebraic geometry. II*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], vol. 49, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004, Positivity for vector bundles, and multiplier ideals. MR 2095472 (2005k:14001b) 14

[LZ12] S. S. Y. Lu and De-Qi Zhang, *Positivity criteria for log canonical divisors and hyperbolicity*, Arxiv e-prints (2012). 2, 3, 10

[MP12] M. McQuillan and G. Pacienza, *Remarks about bubbles*, Arxiv e-prints (2012). 3

Roberto Svaldi, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Department of Mathematics, 50 Ames St., 02142 Cambridge, MA, U.S.A.
E-mail address: rsvaldi@math.mit.edu